

STAT

Approved For Release 2009/03/23 : CIA-RDP94B00280R001200010012-2

**Page Denied**

Approved For Release 2009/03/23 : CIA-RDP94B00280R001200010012-2

FORM NO. 241 REPLACES FORM 36-8 (47)  
1 FEB 55 WHICH MAY BE USED.

## Initiatives to Combat Unauthorized Disclosures of Classified Intelligence Information

- I. Investigation: Good--if implemented, much of II and III would follow or be moot.
- II. Most basic is personnel security: that statement should be in Introductory paragraph. The items listed in II.A. tend to decrease the seriousness of other sections.

I do not like II.A.c) at all--it will never be understood by initiated DDI analysts, to say nothing of outsiders; I would delete it (it is also contrary to "multi-disciplinary analysis and SAFE design trends). The others are motherhood and cyclically problems.

In fact IIA(a), (b) are classical security whipping boys, not addressing real problem: very great percentage of leaks are at AsstSecy or higher levels; only get at problem if willing to investigate, use tools of trade and prosecute. Dissem controls et al are not the issue (witness current Iran/Iraq PDB case).

II B is SOP in CIA. So could a) and c) be elsewhere; b) could be in Intelligence Community buildings--not sure elsewhere. Re d): in CIA only DCI/DDCI/PAO should give backgrounders--so guidelines and indoctrination moot; other guidance OK.

- III. Public not the target: State, Defense, NSC, Congress need education--and not, as noted, via briefings, but with convincing cases. Congress should be public's surrogate--public does not have the "right to know."
- III A. Don't oppose but not sanguine: education would be superficial and much leaked information is deliberate for political or bureaucratic axe-grinding purposes.
- III B: Agree (see above). Doubt feasibility of "realistic exercises" for senior levels briefed.
- III C: Disagree (see above): public wrong target. Better focus by PAOs et al for inter-and intra-Government educating good idea.
- IV A. Agree with desirability. Only ask if, tactically, this is too much while we're trying to sell Section I.
- IV B. Sympathize, but am not in favor of this.